

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/611,825 | 07/01/2003 | Julio H. Monroig | 200205243-1 | 3058 |
| 75 | 10/14/2004 | EXAMINER | | |
| HEWLETT-P | ACKARD DEVELO | SMITH, J | SMITH, JAMES G | |
| Intellectual Pro | perty Administration | | | |
| P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3723 | |

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Antique Communication | 10/611,825 | MONROIG ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | James G. Smith | 3723 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 September 2004. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | ,— | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 22-30 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,6-11,15-21,31,32 and 34-43 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4,5,12-14 and 33 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | | with a Francisco | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 July 2003</u> is/are: a) | · · · · · | * | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/1/03. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- Applicant's election without traverse of the Group I invention in the reply filed on
 September 2004 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 22-30 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 20 September 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 2, 16, 17, 34, 35, 37-39 and 41-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear as to what claim 37 is drawn as it depends from a method of making a tool, but appears to claim only the tool per se.

Claims 16, 17, 38 and 39 appear also to be drawn to a method of making the tool, but depend from claims 31 and 38, respectively, which are not method claims.

Claims 41-43 appear to recite only a fastener and/or a circuit board, however the invention of claim 11, from which claim 41 depends, is only a socket that can operate on a fastener and not the combination of both and claim 18, from which claims 42 and 43 depend, a to a method of turning a fastener and not the fastener or

Application/Control Number: 10/611,825 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

circuit board. Therefore it is unclear as to what applicants believe they have invented.

There if no antecedent basis for "said first recess" in claim 2, "said adjustable stopper" and "said recess" in claim 34 and "said adjustable stopper" in claim 35.

5. Normally a claim which fails to comply with the first and/or second paragraph of § 112 will not be analyzed as to whether it is patentable over the prior art since to do so would of necessity require speculation with regard to the metes and bounds of the claimed subject matter, In re Steele, 308 F.2d 859, 862-63, 134 USPQ 292, (CCPA 1962) and In re Wilson, 424 F.2d 1382, 1385, 496 USPQ 494, 496 (CCPA 1970).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1, 3, 6-9, 11, 15, 31, 32 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Witmer as it shows a stopper that merely slides into the socket body and is therefore "adjustable".
- 8. Claims 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Sabo which shows a "stopper" (44) that limits the insertion of the workpiece, i.e. a piece of pipe that "fastens" to some type of element and is therefore broadly a "fastener".
- 9. Claims 31 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Hammons et. al..

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 10 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Witmer.

Witmer shows the claimed invention except for the use of specific materials of construction and the exact size of the hex opening, claim 40. It would be obvious, however, to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Witmer by using any well known material to make the socket body and to size the hex opening to fit any desired workpiece.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 12. Claims 4, 5, 12-14 and 33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James G. Smith whose telephone number is 703-308-1746. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (7:05- 4:35) Fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail, III can be reached on 703-308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,825 Page 5

Art Unit: 3723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James G. Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723

jgs 10/13/04